IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 19TH JUNE 2007 / 29TH JYAISHTA 1929

RP.No. 548 of 2007(K) IN W.P.(C).No.17532 OF 2004

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.17532/2004 Dated 21/07/2006

.....

PETITIONER/NOT PARTY TO THE WRIT PETITION:

UMADEVI N.M., W/O.NEELAKANTAN,
AGED 41 YEARS, KARUMATHAZHATH MANA
PUNNAYOORKKULAM,
THRISSUR DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJEEV KUMAR K.GOPAL
SRI.BINOY VASUDEVAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. SUNNY K.B., KAITHAKKAT HOUSE, VIA.EDAVILANGU, P.O. KARA, KODUNGALLUR.

2. THRISSUR DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK, REPRESENTED BY ITS GENERAL MANAGER, THRISSUR.

3. THE GENERAL MANAGER, THRISSUR DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE BANK, THRISSUR.

4. THE DISTRICT OFFICER, THE DISTRICT OFFICE, KERALA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, THRISSUR.

5. THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REPRSENTED BY ITS SECRETARY, PATTOM, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.O.D.SIVADAS
SRI.T.R.HARIKUMAR
SRI.P.C.SASIDHARAN, SC, KPSC

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 19/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR, J.

R.P. No.548 of 2007 in W.P.(C) No. 17532 of 2004

Dated this the 19th day of June, 2007.

O R D E R

The petitioner was a candidate, who was included in the rank list, published by the Public Service Commission, for appointment to the post of Clerk/Cashier, in the District Co-operative Bank, Thrissur. The list expired on 31-12-2004. The first respondent herein, filed W.P. (C) No.17352 of 2004, claiming that 51 vacancies were available in the bank, and it is not reporting those vacancies, to the Public Service Commission. This Court issued an interim order to the bank to report 51 vacancies, before the expiry of the list, to the Public Service Commission. At the time of disposal of the writ petition, it was found that only 27 vacancies may be available and that too depending upon the fate of a case, pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. So, this Court directed the Public Service Commission, to advise candidates to those 27 vacancies. It was also ordered that the Bank need appoint them only based on the outcome of the case, before the Apex Court. The petitioner, suffered the expiry of the list and non-reporting of vacancies, without demur. Now, the Review Petition is filed, stating that this Court should have directed the P.S.C., to advise

candidates to all the 51 vacancies. The petitioner, who suffered the non-reporting of the vacancies by the bank, before the expiry of the list, has no locus standi, to file this Review Petition. This is a thoroughly misconceived venture. Accordingly, the Review Petition is dismissed.

K.BALAKRISHNAN NAIR,
JUDGE.

MS